



HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS' LEVEL OF MATHEMATICS ANXIETY AND USE OF SELF-REGULATORY LEARNING STRATEGIES

Gamze GÜRSEL, Filiz Tuba DIKKARTIN ÖVEZ, Gülcan ÖZTÜRK

Abstract: We aimed to examine high school students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies as a function of different variables and to examine the correlation between these variables. The sample consisted of 330 students at 11th and 12th grades from three different high schools in the west of Turkey. We used the Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale, Self-Regulatory Strategies Scale, and a personal information form. We found that female students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies were higher than male students. Moreover, we found that 11th grade students had higher mathematics anxiety than 12th grade students and that there is a significant difference in students' level of mathematics anxiety by mathematics achievement. In contrast, use of self-regulatory learning strategies did not demonstrate a significant difference by mathematics achievement scores. We found no correlation between students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies.

Key words: Mathematics anxiety, self-regulatory learning strategies, high school students

1. Introduction

In this age where we are intertwined with information and communication technologies, social change and development also continue without slowing down. With this rapid change, the outlook on mathematics, expectations, the way mathematics is used, and the processes of learning and teaching mathematics are also changing. The aim of Turkey's educational system is to train students with the combined knowledge, skills, and behaviors required to achieve success (Ministry of National Education [MNE], 2018). It also aims to educate students into individuals with problem-solving skills, who have acquired mathematical thinking and application skills, can use mathematics correctly and effectively, value mathematics, have knowledge about the historical process of mathematics, and develop a perspective for problems they face in the real-life (MNE, 2018). While the education system expected students to act in this direction, students who experienced difficulties in mathematics and failed due to errors in their calculations start perceiving mathematics as a course that was difficult to learn and thought that they would not succeed in it. This perspective led students to have unfavorable attitudes towards mathematics and reduced their achievement in mathematics. One of the most important reasons for this is mathematics anxiety and the negative reactions that occur in students over time cause mathematics anxiety (Alkan, 2011; Yenilmez & Özbey, 2006).

Many researchers defined mathematics anxiety (Ashcraft, 2002; Dreger & Aiken, 1957; Fennema & Sherman, 1976; Gresham, 2010; Hembree, 1990; Maloney & Beilock, 2012; Sherard, 1981). Dreger and Aiken (1957) define mathematics anxiety as the emotional response symptoms towards arithmetic and mathematics. Richardson and Suinn (1972), on the other hand, defined it as the feeling of anxiety and tension that prevents solving mathematical problems and manipulating numbers. Although studies on mathematics anxiety were first conducted in the 1950s when the topic first drew the attention of the mathematics teachers, significant studies were conducted in the early 1970s with the emergence of suitable tools to measure mathematics anxiety (Ashcraft & Krause, 2007; Özdemir & Gür, 2011). Most of the studies concentrated on math anxiety and its associations with different variables. When we examined the literature on mathematics anxiety in recent years, we found studies on differences in level of mathematics anxiety according to gender or grade levels (Dede & Dursun, 2008; Devine et al.,

Received October 2022.

Cite as: Gürsel, G., Dikkartin Övez, F. T., & Öztürk, G. (2023). High school students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*, 16(1), 170-181, <https://doi.org/10.24193/adn.16.1.12>

2012; Dursun & Bindak, 2011; Koçer, 2019; Kurbanoglu & Takunyacı, 2012; Kutluca et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2009; Süren, 2019; Uysal & Selşik, 2016), studies investigating relationship between mathematics achievement and mathematics anxiety (Dursun & Bindak, 2011; Ergene, 2011; Koçer, 2019; Kutluca et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2012), relationship between mathematics anxiety and socio economic levels (Arslan et al., 2017; Erdoğan et al., 2011), relationship between motivation and mathematics anxiety (Durmaz & Akkuş, 2012; Ergene, 2011; Süren, 2019) and relationship between the use of learning strategies and mathematics anxiety (Arslan et al., 2017). In addition to these studies, we found studies examining the effect of instructional methods on mathematics anxiety (Çakır & Aztekin, 2016; Katipoğlu et al., 2017).

Apart from anxiety, Akkuş İspir et al. (2011) stated that self-regulation is an affective factor that affect learning. The concept of self-regulation has become significant with teachers' desire to provide students with learning skills and students' need to organize their learning activities (Eker & Arsal, 2014). Bandura (1986) defined self-regulation as an effective process in which an individual controls and recognizes her/his learning, sets learning goals, and adjusts metacognition capacity and behaviors. Self-regulatory learning is the use of self-regulation skills in the process of learning (Pintrich, 2000; Zimmerman, 1990, 2002). Pintrich (2000) defined self-regulatory learning as various self-regulatory stages that students pass to learn how to regulate their cognition and behavior while being active in this process. Also, Zimmerman (1990) defined self-regulatory learning as a process where students actively regulate their learning in a meta-cognitive, motivational, and behavioral manner. When we reviewed the literature on self-regulatory learning in recent years, we found studies investigating effect of self-regulatory learning on mathematics achievement (Ülker, 2019), relationship between mathematics achievement and use of self-regulatory learning strategies (Alpaslan & Ulubey, 2019; Kaya, 2019; Pape & Wang, 2003; Sürmeli & Ünver, 2017), relationship between use of self-regulatory learning strategies and sense of achievement in mathematics (Çalık, 2014; Kocacan, 2018), gifted students' self-regulatory learning strategies (Akkuş İspir et al., 2011), the effect of using course diaries on teaching self-regulation strategies (Eker & Arsal, 2014), relationship between use of self-regulatory learning strategies and achievement (Haşlaman, 2005), relationship between use of self-regulation strategies and non-standard word problem solving ability (Kılıç & Tanniseven, 2012); relationship between self-regulation skills and self-regulatory learning processes (Haşlaman, 2018), relationship between tutoring, self-study, self-efficacy and self-regulatory learning (Hong & Park, 2012) and relationship between self-regulation skills for mathematics course, mathematics self-efficacy beliefs and mathematics anxiety (İpek, 2019).

When we reviewed the literature in Turkey, we could not find any studies in high schools examining the relationship between the level of mathematics anxiety and the use of self-regulatory learning strategies. We believe that an examination of the relationship between the level of mathematics anxiety and the use of self-regulatory learning strategies can contribute to the field of teaching mathematics in high school. In addition, we thought that it is important for mathematics education to investigate whether the level of mathematics anxiety and the use of self-regulatory learning strategies differ as a function of different variables and to make suggestions consistent with the findings.

We aimed in this study to examine the 11th and 12th grade high school students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies as a function of different variables and to examine the relationship between the level of mathematics anxiety and the use of self-regulatory learning strategies. We stated the study's research problem as "the high school students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies significantly related?". We sought answers for the following questions to address the research problem:

1. What is the students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies?
2. Do the students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies differ as a function of different variables (gender, grade level, and mathematics achievement status)?
3. The students' levels of mathematics anxiety and their use of self-regulatory learning strategies significantly related?

2. Method

2. 1. Research Design

We conducted this study using exploratory correlational research design. In the exploratory correlational research design, it is necessary to determine whether there is a difference in two or more variables together, and if there is a difference, the level of this difference is determined (Fraenkel & Wallen, 2006). We collected data cross-sectionally at once with quantitative data collection tools.

2. 2. Participants

The study group consists of 330 students at 11th and 12th grades from three different high schools, in the west of Turkey in the 2019-2020 academic year's fall semester. We determined the study group using the convenience sampling method. Convenience sampling has objectives such as preventing waste of time, money, and labor (Fraenkel & Wallen, 2006).

Table 1. *Participants' demographic characteristic*

		Gender		Total
		Female	Male	
Grade	11	113	58	191
	12	118	21	139
Total		251	79	330

2. 3. Data Collection Tools

We used a personal information form to obtain the participants' demographic characteristics, the Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale (R-MARS) (Akin et al., 2011) to determine participants' level of mathematics anxiety, and the Self-Regulatory Strategies Scale (SRSS) (Kadioğlu et al., 2011) to determine participants' use of self-regulatory learning strategies.

Plake and Parker (1982) developed R-MARS and Akin et al. (2011) adapted it into Turkish. R-MARS consists of 24 five-point likert-type items such as "never worries (1), rarely worries (2), often worries (3), usually worries (4), and always worries (5)". Items 1 through 16 on the scale belong to mathematics learning anxiety sub-dimension, and items 17 through 24 belong to the mathematics evaluation anxiety sub-dimension. R-MARS's maximum available score is 120 and minimum available score is 24. We calculated R-MARS scores by dividing the total scores by the number of items. We used the following scaling in the evaluation of R-MARS scores: 1.00-1.80: "never worries," 1.81-2.60: "rarely worries," 2.61-3.40: "often worries," 3.41-4.20: "usually worries," 4.21-5.00 "always worries". Since the scale scores ranged from 1.00 to 5.00, we assumed that students' level of mathematics anxiety was higher when scores approached 5.00 and lower when they approached 1.00. The Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficient of the scale was found .93 (Akin et al., 2011).

Kadioğlu et al. (2011) developed SRSS. There are 29 six-point likert-type items such as "never (1), rarely (2), sometimes (3), often (4), mostly (5), and always (6)" in SRSS. SRSS's maximum available score is 174 and minimum available score is 29. There are eight sub-dimensions such as motivation regulation (items 3, 5, 14, 19, 26), effort regulation (items 11, 21, 28), planning (items 1, 6, 8, 16), attention focusing (items 2, 9, 10, 27, 29), summary strategy (items 15, 17, 18), highlighting strategy (items 4, 12, 23), self-instruction (items 13, 22, 25), using additional resources (items 7, 20, 24) in the scale. We calculated SRSS scores by dividing the total scores by the number of items in the scale. We used the following scaling in the evaluation of SRSS scores: 1.00-1.83: "never," 1.84- 2.67: "rarely," 2.68-3.50: "sometimes," 3.51-4.33: "often," 4.34-5.17: "mostly," 5.18-6.00: "always" scaling was used. Because the scale scores ranged from 1.00 to 6.00, we assumed that students' use of self-regulatory learning strategies was higher when scores approached 6.00 and lower when they approached 1.00. The Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficient calculated for each factor was found to be between .68 and .82 (Kadioğlu et al., 2011).

In the personal information form used to determine student demographic characteristics, there were questions about students' gender, grade levels, and mathematics achievement status.

2. 4. Data Analysis

We analyzed the data obtained from participants by using a statistical analysis software package program. We calculated descriptive statistics and examined characteristics of data distribution. We found the reliability coefficient for R-MARS to be 0.94 and the reliability coefficient for SRSS to be 0.88. This reliability coefficients did not differ significantly from the reliability coefficients found in the original forms of the scales, and since they were greater than 0.70, we concluded that the data collection tools were reliable (Büyüköztürk, 2019).

We determined whether the data had a normal distribution by calculating R-MARS and SRSS scores' skewness and kurtosis values and examining boxplot, histogram, Q-Q, and detrended graphs (Alpar, 2016). We presented R-MARS and SRSS scores' skewness and kurtosis values in Table 2.

Table 2. R-MARS and SRSS scores' skewness and kurtosis values

Scale	Variables		N	Skewness		Kurtosis		
				Value	SE	Value	SE	
R-MARS	-		330	0.289	.134	-0.631	.268	
	Gender	Female	251	0.275	.154	-0.672	.306	
		Male	79	0.369	.271	-0.472	.535	
	Grade	11	191	0.173	.176	-0.548	.350	
		12	139	0.569	.206	-0.413	.408	
	Mathematics achievement status	0-44	89	0.127	-.255	-0.458	.506	
		45-54	94	0.264	.249	-0.725	.493	
		55-69	70	0.312	.287	-0.385	.566	
		70-84	46	0.554	.350	-0.517	.688	
		85-100	31	1.403	.421	1.424	.821	
	SRSS	-		330	-0.271	.134	-0.133	.268
		Gender	Female	251	-0.184	.154	0.123	.306
Male			79	0.384	.271	-0.225	.535	
Grade		11	191	-0.206	.176	-0.324	.350	
		12	139	-0.202	.206	0.024	.408	
Mathematics achievement status		0-44	89	-0.353	.255	-0.186	.506	
		45-54	94	-0.214	.249	-0.010	.493	
		55-69	70	-0.164	.287	-0.363	.566	
		70-84	46	-0.352	.350	0.202	.688	
		85-100	31	-0.633	.421	0.375	.821	

Note. R-MARS: Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale; SRSS: Self-regulatory Strategies Scale, SE: Standard Error

The skewness and kurtosis values were in the interval -1.5 and +1.5. For data regarded with a normal distribution, the skewness and kurtosis values should be in interval -1.5 and +1.5 (Alpar, 2016). We decided that data had a normal distribution upon examination of histogram, boxplot, Q-Q, and detrended graphs (Alpar, 2016). Since the data had a normal distribution, we conducted the t-test for independent samples to determine whether the scores differed according to gender and grade. We conducted one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to decide whether scores differed according to mathematics achievement status (Büyüköztürk, 2019).

We used descriptive statistics determine R-MARS and SRSS scores. We also calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficient for examining relationship between the R-MARS and SRSS scores. The relationship between two variables with continuous and normal distribution is determined with the correlation coefficient (Büyüköztürk, 2019).

3. Results

The study's first sub-problem was "identifying the students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies". We calculated the minimum and maximum scores, means of scores, and standard deviation values to answer this question (Table 3).

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the scores

Scale	Sub-dimensions	N	Min	Max	\bar{x}	SD
R-MARS	Learning mathematics anxiety	330	1	5	2.226	0.938
	Mathematics evaluation anxiety	330	1	5	3.367	1.129
	Total	330	1	5	2.606	.909
SRSS	Motivation regulation	330	1	6	3.312	1.037
	Planning	330	1	6	3.235	1.248
	Effort regulation	330	1	6	4.062	1.274
	Self- instruction	330	1	6	4.100	1.341
	Attention focusing	330	1	6	4.652	1.134
	Highlighting strategy	330	1	6	4.516	1.246
	Summary strategy	330	1	6	3.199	1.168
	Using additional resources	330	1	6	3.704	1.224
	Total	330	1.86	5.66	3.845	0.758

Note. R-MARS: Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale; SRSS: Self-regulatory Strategies Scale; \bar{x} : mean; SD: standard deviation.

The mean of R-MARS scores was 2.606 (Table 3). We concluded that the mean of R-MARS scores was at level of "often worries" according to the scaling given in the method section. Therefore, we interpreted that the students' level of mathematics anxiety was moderate. The mean of SRSS scores was 3.845 (Table 3). We concluded that the mean of SRSS scores was at level of "often" according to the scale given in the method section. We interpreted that the students' use of self-regulatory learning strategies was moderate.

The study's second sub-problem was "to investigate if students' levels of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies differ as a function of different variables (gender, grade level, and mathematics achievement status)". We examined the scales scores according to independent variables and performed t-test and ANOVA for independent samples to answer this question (Table 4, 5, 6).

Table 4. R-MARS and SRSS scores according to independent variables and t-test results

Scale	Variables	N	\bar{x}	SD	df	t	p	η^2	
R-MARS	Gender	Female	251	2.674	0.904	328	2.449	.015	.02440
		Male	79	2.389	0.896				
	Grade	11	191	2.824	0.872	328	5.306	.000	.0806
		12	139	2.307	0.877				
SRSS	Gender	Female	251	4.012	0.652	328	7.740	.000	.1994
		Male	79	3.315	0.828				
	Grade	11	191	3.756	0.806	328	2.508	.013	.0192
		12	139	3.967	0.671				

Note. R-MARS: Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale); SRSS: Self-regulatory Strategies Scale; \bar{x} : mean; SD: standard deviation.

The mean of female students' R-MARS scores was 2.674 and the one for the SRSS scores was 4.012, and the mean of male students' R-MARS scores was 2.389 and the mean for SRSS scores was 3.315 (Table 4). When we compared the scores according to gender, the means of female students' R-MARS and SRSS scores were higher than male students' scores. According to the t-test result, R-MARS scores demonstrated a significant difference by gender, $t(330)=2.449$, $p<.05$, $\eta^2=.0244$. We also calculated Cohen's d value ($d=.316$) from the t-test result (Cohen, 1988). The d value of about 0.3 was described as having a small effect size (Lenhard & Lenhard, 2016). Based on Cohen's d and eta square (η^2) values, we interpreted that the effect of gender on students' level of mathematics anxiety was small. SRSS scores also demonstrated a significant difference according to gender, $t(330)=7.740$, $p.05$, $\eta^2=.1994$. We stated that Cohen's d value ($d = .998$) calculated from the t-test result (Cohen, 1988)

was greater than .9, which was interpreted as large effect size (Lenhard & Lenhard, 2016). Based on Cohen's d and eta square (η^2) values, we interpreted that the effect of gender on students' self-regulatory learning strategies was large.

The mean of 11th grade students' R-MARS scores was 2.824, the mean of 12th grade students' scores was 2.307 (Table 4). When we compared R-MARS scores, the scores demonstrated a significant difference according to grade level, $t(330)=5.306$, $p<.05$, $\eta^2=.0806$. We also calculated Cohen's d value from the t-test result ($d=.592$) (Cohen, 1988). The d value of approximately 0.5 was considered to be an intermediate effect size (Lenhard & Lenhard, 2016). We interpreted that the effect of grade level on students' mathematics anxiety was moderate based on Cohen's d and eta square (η^2) values. The mean of 11th grade students' SRSS scores was 3.756 and the mean of 12th grade students' scores was 3.967. SRSS scores differed significantly by grade level, $t(330)=2.508$, $p<.05$, $\eta^2=.0192$. The Cohen's d value ($d=.2800$) (Cohen, 1988) approximately .3 was interpreted as small effect size (Lenhard & Lenhard, 2016). Based on Cohen's d and eta square (η^2) values, we interpreted that the effect of grade level on students' self-regulatory learning strategies was small.

Table 5. Distribution of R-MARS and SRSS scores by mathematics achievement scores

Scale	Mathematics achievement status	N	\bar{x}	SD
R-MARS	0-44	89	2.984	.886
	45-54	94	2.795	.835
	55-69	70	2.446	.811
	70-84	46	2.340	.850
	85-100	31	1.704	.680
SRSS	0-44	89	3.676	.732
	45-54	94	3.886	.720
	55-69	70	3.857	.801
	70-84	46	4.032	.773
	85-100	31	3.900	.775

Note. R-MARS: Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale; SRSS: Self-regulatory Strategies Scale; \bar{x} : mean; SD: standard deviation value.

R-MARS and SRSS scores differed according to the students' mathematics achievement status (Table 5). We conducted an ANOVA to show whether the difference between the students' R-MARS and SRSS scores was statistically significant according to their mathematics achievement status (Table 6).

Table 6. Results of ANOVA according to mathematics achievement status

Scale		Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	p	Significant differences	η^2
R-MARS	Between groups	46.322	4	11.580	16.690	.000	A-C, A-D, A-E, B-E, C-E, D-E	.3314
	Within groups	225.501	325	.694				
	Total	271.823	329					
SRSS	Between groups	4.412	4	1.103	1.942	.103	-	-
	Within groups	184.580	325	.568				
	Total	188.992	329					

Note. R-MARS: Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale; SRSS: Self-regulatory Strategies Scale; A: 0-44, B: 45-54, C: 55-69, D: 70-84, E: 85-00

R-MARS scores demonstrated a significant difference according to mathematics achievement status, $F(4, 325) = 16.69$, $p<.05$, $\eta^2=.3314$ (Table 6). In other words, the students' levels of mathematics anxiety differ significantly by mathematics achievement status. We also calculated Cohen's d value from the ANOVA result ($d=1.408$) (Cohen, 1988). The d value greater than 1.00 was interpreted as large effect size (Lenhard & Lenhard, 2016). Based on Cohen's d and eta square (η^2) values, we interpreted that the effect of mathematics achievement on students' mathematics anxiety was large. Table 6 also demonstrates which groups had a significant difference in R-MARS scores at the end of Scheffe's test (Büyüköztürk, 2019). Therefore, we interpreted that as mathematics scores increased,

mathematics anxiety decreased. SRSS scores did not demonstrate a significant difference by the mathematics achievement status, $F(4, 325)$, $p > .05$ (Table 6).

The study's third sub-problem was "to investigate whether the students' levels of mathematics anxiety and their use of self-regulatory learning strategies are significantly related". We examined the correlation analysis results between R-MARS and SRSS scores and their sub-dimensions' scores in order to answer this question (Table 7).

Table 7. Correlation analysis results between R-MARS and SRSS, and sub-dimensions' scores

Variables		N	r	p
R-MARS	SRSS	330	.000	.999
R-MARS	SRSS Motivation regulation	330	-.089	.107
	SRSS Planning	330	-.118	.033
	SRSS Effort regulation	330	.349	.000
	SRSS Self-instruction	330	.015	.784
	SRSS Attention focusing	330	-.012	.831
	SRSS Highlighting strategy	330	.056	.312
	SRSS Summary strategy	330	-.045	.412
	SRSS Using additional resources	330	-.090	.101
SRSS	R-MARS Learning mathematics anxiety	330	-.043	.434
	R-MARS Mathematics evaluation anxiety	330	.072	.195

Note. R-MARS: Revised Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale; SRSS: Self-Regulatory Strategies Scale

We concluded that there was no correlation between R-MARS and SRSS scores, $r = .000$, $p > .05$ (Table 7). An absolute value of correlation coefficient between 0.00 and 0.30 represents a low relationship; between 0.30 and 0.70 represents a moderate relationship; between 0.70 and 1.00 represents a high relationship, and correlation coefficient with a value of 0.00 represents no correlation at all (Büyüköztürk, 2019). When we examined relationship between the scales and the sub-dimensions, we found a moderately positive and significant relationship between R-MARS scores and SRSS Effort regulation dimension ($r = .349$, $p < .05$). Given the coefficient of determination ($r^2 = .1218$), we said that 12% of the change in level of mathematics anxiety was due to use of effort regulation (Büyüköztürk, 2019). There was a low negative and significant relationship between R-MARS scores and SRSS Planning dimension ($r = -.118$, $p < .05$).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

We examined 11th and 12th grade students' R-MARS and SRSS scores and whether the scores differed by gender, grade level, and mathematics achievement in this study. We concluded that R-MARS scores indicated a significant difference by gender. We found that female students' R-MARS scores were higher than male students. Similarly, Devine et al. (2012), Luo et al. (2009), Süren (2019) and Uysal and Selışık (2016) found that students' mathematics anxiety scores demonstrated significant differences by gender. Devine et al. (2012), Luo et al. (2009) concluded that female students' levels of mathematics anxiety were higher than male students' levels. Süren (2019) and Uysal and Selışık (2016) found that male students had higher levels of mathematics anxiety. Contrary to our results, Dede and Dursun (2008), Dursun and Bindak (2011), Kurbanoglu and Takunyacı (2012), Kutluca et al. (2015), and Koçer (2019) found that the students' levels of mathematics anxiety did not differ significantly by gender. Given that the studies examining mathematics anxiety according to gender found significant difference while others did not, we suggested that additional research should be conducted to determine whether mathematics anxiety differs by gender. To reduce mathematics anxiety, we suggested that gender-specific questions be included in the lesson and that attention be paid to contexts that may draw male and female students' attention to problematic situations to be selected. We concluded that SRSS scores demonstrated a significant gender difference in favor of female students. Similarly, Kirişçi and Köksal Konik (2016) also found a significant difference in favor of female students' use of self-regulatory learning strategies. Kocacan (2018) concluded that, unlike this study, the students' use of self-regulatory learning strategies did not demonstrate a

significant difference by gender. From the results of this study and the results of the reviewed studies, we concluded that students' use of self-regulatory learning strategies differed significantly in favor of female students, but since there are few studies on the subject, we recommend more research on this topic.

When we examined the mean of R-MARS scores according to the grade level, we found that 11th grade students had higher mathematics anxiety than 12th grade students, and the scores demonstrated a significant difference by grade level. Kurbanoglu and Takunyacı (2012) and Koçer (2019) found results similar to our results. In these studies, it was concluded that as grade level increased, mathematics anxiety decreased. Similarly, according to Dursun and Bindak (2011), eighth grade students had significantly higher mathematics anxiety than students in other grades. On the other hand, Dede and Dursun (2008) and Luo et al. (2009) found that mathematics anxiety did not differ significantly by grade level. Consequently, we suggested that additional research should be conducted to determine whether mathematics anxiety differs by students' grade levels. When we examined SRSS scores, we concluded that 12th grade students' use of self-regulatory learning strategies was higher than 11th grade students' use, and their SRSS scores differed significantly by their grades.

When we examined whether R-MARS and SRSS scores differed by mathematics achievement status, we observed a significant difference in R-MARS scores according to students' mathematics achievement status. In contrast, SRSS scores did not demonstrate a significant difference by mathematics achievement status. Dursun and Bindak (2011), Ergene (2011), Koçer (2019), Kutluca et al. (2015) also found differences in students' levels of mathematics anxiety according to mathematics achievement status. Kutluca et al. (2015) concluded that an increase in mathematics achievement resulted in a decrease in mathematics anxiety.

We also examined the relationship between students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies in this study. We concluded that there was no significant relationship between R-MARS and SRSS scores. When we examined the relationship between the scales and sub-dimensions, we concluded that there was a moderately positive and significant relationship between level of mathematics anxiety and SRSS Effort regulation dimension. We found a negative and low significant relationship between level of mathematics anxiety and SRSS Planning dimension.

5. Suggestions

This study was limited to the 11th and 12th grade students' level of mathematics anxiety and use of self-regulatory learning strategies in three different high schools, in the west of Turkey. Similar studies can be conducted at different grade levels in secondary and higher school levels, especially at different grade levels at the high school level. Students' levels of mathematics anxiety and their use of self-regulatory learning strategies can be examined in the distance learning period that took place after the COVID-19 pandemic process. After studies to increase use of self-regulatory learning strategies, it can be examined whether level of mathematics anxiety change. In addition, studies can be conducted to examine different causes of mathematics anxiety in students. Guidance studies can be organized to reduce students' mathematics anxiety. In addition, studies can be organized for them to improve self-regulatory learning strategies. Teachers can also be trained to reduce their students' mathematics anxiety and how they can improve their students' self-regulatory learning skills.

References

- Akın, A., Kurbanoglu, N. İ., & Takunyacı, M. (2011). Revised mathematics anxiety rating scale: A confirmatory factor analysis. *Necatibey Faculty of Education Electronic Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 5(1), 163-180. <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/39818>
- Akkuş İspir, O., Ay, Z. S. P., & Saygı, E. (2011). High achiever students' self-regulated learning strategies, motivation towards mathematics, and their thinking styles. *Education and Science*, 36(162), 235-246. <http://eb.ted.org.tr/index.php/EB/article/view/1011/324>

- Alkan, V. (2011). One of the barriers to providing effective mathematics teaching: Anxiety and its Causes. *Pamukkale University Journal of Education*, 29(29), 89-107. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/114598>
- Alpar, R. (2016). *Spor, sağlık ve eğitim bilimlerinden örneklerle uygulamalı istatistik ve geçerlik-güvenirlilik [Applied statistics and validity-reliability with examples from sports, health and educational sciences]* (revised 4th ed.). Detay Yayıncılık.
- Alpaslan, M. M., & Ulubey, Ö. (2019). Examination of the relations between achievement emotions, self-regulated learning strategies and academic achievement in mathematics. *Erzincan University Journal of Education Faculty*, 21(2), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.17556/erziefd.458020>
- Arslan, Ç., Güler, H. K., & Gürbüz, M. Ç. (2017). Investigation of the relation between middle school students' mathematics anxiety and learning strategies. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Journal of Education Faculty*, 42, 123-142. <https://doi.org/10.21764/efd.32954>
- Ashcraft, M. H. (2002). Math anxiety: Personal, educational, and cognitive consequences. *Current directions in psychological science*, 11(5), 181-185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00196>
- Ashcraft, M. H., & Krause, J. A. (2007). Working memory, math performance, and math anxiety. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(2), 243-248. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03194059>
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2019). *Sosyal bilimler için veri analizi el kitabı [Data analysis handbook for social sciences]*. Pegem Akademi.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2. edition). Erlbaum.
- Çakır, S., & Aztekin, S. (2016). The effects of the problem based learning method on the motivation and anxiety levels of students in mathematics lesson. *Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University Journal of Faculty of Education*, 16(2), 377-398. <https://doi.org/10.17240/aibuefd.2016.16.2-5000194933>
- Çalık, B. (2014). *The relationship between mathematics achievement emotions, mathematics self-efficacy, and self-regulated learning strategies among middle school students* (Master's thesis). Middle East Technical University.
- Dede, Y., & Dursun, Ş. (2008). An investigation of primary school students' mathematics anxiety levels. *Uludag University Faculty of Education Journal*, 21(2), 295-312. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/153341>
- Devine, A., Fawcett, K., Szűcs, D., & Dowker, A. (2012). Gender differences in mathematics anxiety and the relation to mathematics performance while controlling for test anxiety. *Behavioral and brain functions*, 8(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-9081-8-33>
- Dreger, R. M., & Aiken, L. R., Jr. (1957). The identification of number anxiety in a college population. *Journal of Educational psychology*, 48(6), 344-351. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0045894>
- Durmaz, M., & Akkuş, R. (2012). Identifying the relationships among the degrees of basic psychological needs satisfaction, motivation and mathematics anxiety of high school students (10th grade). X. Ulusal Fen Bilimleri ve Matematik Eğitimi Kongresi [10th National Science and Mathematics Education Congress], Niğde, Turkey.
- Dursun, Ş., & Bindak, R. (2011). The Investigation of Elementary School Students' Mathematics Anxiety. *Sivas Cumhuriyet University Faculty of Letters Journal of Social Sciences*, 35(1), 18-21. <http://cujos.cumhuriyet.edu.tr/en/pub/issue/4344/59386>
- Eker, C., & Arsal, Z. (2014). The impact of teaching diaries on the use of students' self-regulation strategies. *Karaelmas Journal of Educational Sciences*, 2, 80-93. <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/2160907>
- Erdoğan, A., Kesici, Ş., & Şahin, İ. (2011). Prediction of high school students' mathematics anxiety by their achievement motivation and social comparison. *Elementary Education Online*, 10(2), 646-652. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/90667>

- Ergene, T. (2011). The relationships among test anxiety, study habits, achievement, motivation, and academic performance among Turkish high school students. *Education and Science*, 36(160), 320-330. <http://eb.ted.org.tr/index.php/EB/article/view/1066/279>
- Fennema, E., & Sherman, J. A. (1976). Fennema-Sherman mathematics attitudes scales: Instruments designed to measure attitudes toward the learning of mathematics by females and males. *Journal for research in Mathematics Education*, 7(5), 324-326. <https://doi.org/10.5951/jresmetheduc.7.5.0324>
- Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (2006). *How to design and evaluate research in education (6th ed.)*. McGraw-Hill.
- Gresham, G. (2010). A study exploring exceptional education pre-service teachers' mathematics anxiety. *Issues in the Undergraduate Mathematics Preparation of School Teachers*, 4, 1-14. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ914258.pdf>
- Haşlamam, T. (2005). *Investigation of the relationship between self-regulated learning strategies and achievement in a programming course: A structural equation modeling* (Master's thesis). Hacettepe University.
- Haşlamam, T. (2018). Supporting students as self-regulated learners with use of infographics: "How can I Learn Better?" *Elementary Education Online*, 17(1), 277-292. <https://doi.org/10.17051/ilkonline.2018.413771>
- Hembree, R. (1990). The nature, effects, and relief of mathematics anxiety. *Journal for research in mathematics education*, 21(1), 33-46. <https://doi.org/10.5951/jresmetheduc.21.1.0033>
- Hong, S. C., & Park, Y. S. (2012). An analysis of the relationship between self-study, private tutoring, and self-efficacy on self-regulated learning. *KEDI journal of educational policy*, 9(1), 113-144. <https://doi.org/10.22804/kjep.2012.9.1.006>
- İpek, H. (2019). *Investigation of middle school students' mathematics anxiety mathematics self-efficacy beliefs and self-regulation skills toward mathematics course* (Master's thesis). Marmara University.
- Kadioğlu, C., Uzuntiryaki, E., & Çapa Aydın, Y. (2011). Development of self-regulatory strategies scale (SRSS). *Education and Science*, 36(160), 11-23. <http://egitimvebilim.ted.org.tr/index.php/EB/article/view/187/256>
- Katipoğlu, M., Eken, Z., & Körbay, M. (2017). The effect of mathematics instruction conducted with comics including fun and humor on students' mathematics achievement and mathematics anxiety. *International Journal of Education, Science and Technology*, 3(1), 32-45. <https://dergipark.org.tr/pub/uebt/issue/29049/310898>
- Kaya, D. (2019). Predicting seventh grade students' mathematics achievements: The role of metacognitive awareness, motivation and self-regulated learning strategies. *Ondokuz Mayıs University Journal of Education Faculty*, 38(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.7822/omuefd.389698>
- Kılıç, Ç., & Tanrıseven, I. (2012). The correlations between self-regulated learning strategies and motivational beliefs and non-standard word problem solving. *Abant İzzet Baysal University Journal of Faculty of Education*, 12(1), 167-180. <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/16822>
- Kirişçi, N., & Köksal Konik, A. (2016). Self-regulated learning and motivational beliefs of gifted and normal intelligence level students on mathematics. *HAYEF Journal of Education*, 13(2), 143-157. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/226063>
- Kocacan, S. (2018). *Relationship between primary school self-regulated learning strategies and achievement motivation in mathematics course of secondary school students* (Master's thesis). Yakın Doğu University.
- Koçer, Z. (2019). Anxiety of mathematic on high school students and an assessment about implementation of math anxiety scale. *Maarif Mektepleri International Journal of Educational Sciences*, 3(1), 19-35. <https://doi.org/10.46762/mamulebd.526399>

- Kurbanoglu, N. İ., & Takunyacı, M. (2012). An investigation of the attitudes, anxieties and self-efficacy beliefs towards mathematics lessons high school students in terms of gender, types of school, and students' grades. *International Journal of Human Sciences*, 9(1), 110-130. <https://www.j-humansciences.com/ojs/index.php/IJHS/article/view/2023/832>
- Kutluca, T., Alpay, F. N., & Kutluca, S. (2015). An investigation of factors affecting 8th grade math students' anxiety levels. *Dicle University Journal of Ziya Gökalp Faculty of Education*, 25, 202-214. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/786706>
- Lenhard, W., & Lenhard, A. (2016). *Calculation of effect sizes*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.17823.92329>
- Luo, X., Wang, F., & Luo, Z. (2009). Investigation and analysis of mathematics anxiety in middle school students. *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 2(2), 12-19. http://educationforatoz.org/images/_9734_2_Xinbin_Lou.pdf
- Maloney, E. A., & Beilock, S. L. (2012). Math anxiety: Who has it, why it develops, and how to guard against it. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 16(8), 404-406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.06.008>
- Ministry of National Education (MNE) (2018). *Ortaöğretim matematik dersi (9, 10, 11 ve 12. sınıflar) öğretim programı [Secondary school mathematics course (9th, 10th, 11th and 12th grades) curriculum]*. MEB Basımevi.
- Özdemir, E., & Gür, H. (2011). Validity and reliability study of mathematics anxiety-apprehension survey (MASS). *Education and Science*, 36(161), 39-50. <http://eb.ted.org.tr/index.php/EB/article/viewFile/259/283>
- Pape, S. J., & Wang, C. (2003). Middle school children's strategic behavior: Classification and relation to academic achievement and mathematical problem solving. *Instructional Science*, 31(6), 419-449. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025710707285>
- Pintrich, P. R. (2000). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning In *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 451-502). Academic Press.
- Plake, B. S., & Parker, C. S. (1982). The development and validation of a revised version of the mathematics anxiety rating scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 42, 551-557. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001316448204200218>
- Richardson, F. C., & Suinn, R. M. (1972). The mathematics anxiety rating scale: psychometric data. *Journal of counseling Psychology*, 19(6), 551-554. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0033456>
- Sherard, W. H. (1981). Math anxiety in the classroom. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 55(3), 106-110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098655.1981.10113669>
- Süren, N. (2019). *Investigation of the effect of anxiety and motivation on mathematics achievement* (Master's thesis). Balıkesir University.
- Sürmeli, Z. D., & Ünver, G. (2017). The relationship between mathematics achievement, self-regulated learning strategies, epistemological beliefs and academic self-concept. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 8(1), 83-102. <https://doi.org/10.16949/turkbilm.298393>
- Uysal, F., & Selşik, A. (2016). An investigation about high school students' mathematics anxiety level according to some variables. *Journal of Theoretical Educational Science*, 9(1), 146-164. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5578/keg.10009>
- Ülker, M. (2019). *Investigation of the effect of self-regulation and reflective thinking on mathematics achievement* (Master's thesis). Balıkesir University.
- Wu, S. S., Barth, M., Amin, H., Malcarne, V., & Menon, V. (2012). Math anxiety in second and third graders and its relation to mathematics achievement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3(162), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00162>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (1990). Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: An overview. *Educational Psychologist*, 25(1), 3-17. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2501_2

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64-70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2

Authors

Gamze GÜRSEL, Balıkesir University, Institute of Science, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Balıkesir (Turkey). E-mail: gamze_aykurtlu@hotmail.com

Filiz Tuba DIKKARTIN ÖVEZ, Balıkesir University, Necatibey Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Balıkesir (Turkey). E-mail: f.tubadikkartin@gmail.com

Gülcan ÖZTÜRK, Balıkesir University, Necatibey Faculty of Education, Department of Computer Education and Instructional Technology, Balıkesir (Turkey). E-mail: ozturkg@balikesir.edu.tr

Acknowledgement

Funding details. The authors declared that this research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclosure statement. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.